

Reducing the reporting burden in the statistical environment – how XBRL can help

Jean-Marc Israël Paris, 23 June 2009

MFS/09/83.1

Presentation

- ESCB statistics: examples
- Other statistics and sources
- Ways to reduce the reporting burden
- Issues for discussion

ESCB statistics: examples

Monetary Financial Institutions balance sheet statistics

- relevant statistics: direct support to monetary and credit analyses
- reporting agents: credit institutions and money market funds
- frequent (monthly) and timely (ca. 12 working days)
- detailed balance sheet (of individual institutions)
- re-use of individual data from credit institutions for minimum reserves

MFI interest rates statistics

- relevant: assessment of monetary policy transmission;
 also useful to measure financial integration and protect consumers
- reporting agents: banks (possibly via sampling); same frequency and timeliness
- interest rates on new business and outstanding loans and deposits

Banks and insurance corporations supervisory reports

- financial stability purposes
- re-use of existing supervisory reports ongoing work to reconcile

Ref. http://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/other/ecbstatisticsanoverview2008en.pdf

Other statistics and sources

Eurostat's Structural Business Statistics

- assessment of structural changes in various business activities
- annual surveys
- detailed reporting on entities (employees), their income (turn-over, profits/losses) and balance sheet accounts

Central Balance-Sheet Offices

- assessment of activity, financing and profitability of corporations
- (usually) annual balance sheet and income statement, with extensions
- comparative analysis by branches of industry, possibly by regions

(Central and Private) Credit Registers

- assessment of borrowers' risk (negative: loan impairments, or positive)
- (usually) weekly/monthly reporting and retrieval of information
- use for prudential purposes; re-use for statistics and other purposes

Ways to reduce reporting burden (1/3)

I. Concrete actions - illustration

- Countries like Belgium, France, Spain, the Netherlands or Portugal have implemented taxonomies and integrated reporting
- This covers, e.g. the registration of annual accounts, whereby the requirements of ministries (e.g. justice, tax authority), supervision or audit where appropriate, and statistics are met
 - ➤ The one-off cost to adapt to the reporting may be much reduced by facilities provided by the agency/ies sponsoring the initiative and/or by software houses proposing packages;
 - ➤ Regular costs are marginal, especially where data import/export facilities are integrated in the software packages; and
 - Corporations save the cost of sending different sets of accounts in different languages and formats
- Eurostat and the ECB have launched projects to define a taxonomy (also with an XBRL extension) for some statistical reporting by industries (for Short-term statistics and Structural business statistics)
- In contrast, there is reluctance of some businesses for various reasons: entry cost, transparency indirect effects, etc.

Ways to reduce reporting burden (2/3)

2. Cooperation among authorities

- European Central Bank
- European Commission (Eurostat)
- National Central Banks,
- National Statistics Institutes, and
- National Supervisory Authorities (for banks, insurance corp., securities exchange commissions, etc.)
- to run ESCB "merits and costs procedures" and/or Commission's "impact assessments"
- to reconcile statistical and supervisory frameworks, to the extent possible, and to derive (clear and harmonised) taxonomies
- to use a business-friendly presentation based on internationally-recognised standards, although many statistics are still based on national GAAPs
- to translate the requirements in XBRL, initially based on extensions

The availability of harmonised definitions and of inter-linked reporting modules is instrumental to prepare for the use of XBRL

Ways to reduce reporting burden (3/3)

3. Responsibilities and interaction among stakeholders

- National Central Banks and National Statistics Institutes can do the development work, jointly with National Supervisory Authorities, as the core requirements are set at national level
- European Commission may coordinate the taxonomies developed at national level, and overall foster, or enforce the use of taxonomies, and the use and interoperability of XBRL (extensions);
- European committees: CEBS, CEIOPS and CESR may play an active role
- European Central Bank can play a catalyst role with businesses, and ensure necessary conditions for level playing field and interoperability
- Business representatives (directly or via national and European fora)
- Associations like XBRL-Europe and/or XBRL in the countries concerned
- Software houses (ERP)

Issues for discussion

- What are the prospects for the development of XBRL in Europe?
 - Would there be a role for the revised and new supervisory authorities
- Is this an issue of international competitivity?
 - Corporations listed in the U.S. (even if headquartered in the EU) may need to use XBRL; will this have an effect?
- To which extent would this development foster a level playing field for European businesses?
- What could / should (statistics) national authorities do?
 - E.g. to which extent will IFRS replace national GAAPs for solo accounts?
- What could / should (statistics) European institutions do?
 - E.g. should there be an inter-institutional body to coordinate progress and actions?